EXHIBIT 69 REDACTED

	Page 1				
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS				
3	SHERMAN DIVISION				
4					
5	THE STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL,				
6	Plaintiffs,				
7	vs. CIVIL NO. 4:20-CV-957-SDJ				
8	GOOGLE LLC,				
9	Defendants.				
10	/				
11					
12					
13	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL				
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of :				
15	Redwood City, California				
16	April 26, 2024				
17	9:24 a.m.				
18					
19					
20					
21	Job No. MDLG6661144				
22					
23	Stenographically reported by:				
24	JENNY L. GRIFFIN, RMR, CSR, CRR, CCRR, CRC				
25	CSR No. 3969				

Page 2 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Videotaped deposition of 8 9 taken on behalf of the Plaintiffs, at Freshfields 10 Bruckhaus Deringer, 855 Main Street, Redwood City, California, on Friday, April 26, 2024, beginning at 11 12 9:24 a.m. and ending at 7:01 p.m., before 13 Jenny L. Griffin, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime 14 15 Reporter, California Certified Realtime Reporter, 16 Certified Realtime Captioner. 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

	Page 3
	_
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
4	Attorney for Plaintiff
4	BY: PETER M. HILLEGAS, ESQ.
_	98 San Jacinto Boulevard, Suite 1100
5	Austin, Texas 78701-4255 512.474.5201
6	peter.hillegas@nortonrosefulbright.com
7	- and -
8	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP.
J	BY: JIANG WU, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)
9	1045 West Fulton Market, Suite 1200
	Chicago, Illinois 60607
10	312.964.7800
	jiang.wu@nortonrosefulbright.com
11	
12	AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP
	Attorney for Defendant and the Witness
13	BY: DANIEL S. BITTON, ESQ.
	55 Second Street
14	San Francisco, California 94105
	415.490.2000
15	dbitton@axinn.com
16	- and -
17	AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP
	BY: BLAKE E. PESCATORE, ESQ.
18	114 West 47th Street
1.0	New York, New York 10036
19	212.728.2200 hp.g.g.g.t.g.g.g.y.i.nn
20	bpescatore@axinn.com
2 0	- and -
21	- and -
21	FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER
22	BY: JUSTINA K. SESSIONS, ESQ.
	855 Main Street
23	Redwood City, California 94063
	650.461.8276
24	Justina.sessions@freshfields.com
25	

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	Present on Zoom:
3	
4	Zeke DeRose III: Lanier Law Firm
5	Melonie DeRose: Lanier Law Firm
6	Bao Cuong Pham: Assistant Attorney General, Office
7	of the Texas Attorney General
8	Jonathan Jaffe: Retained Plaintiff Consultant
9	
10	VIDEOGRAPHER: Miguel Concepcion, Golkow Litigation
11	TRIAL TECH: Jim Lopez, Golkow Litigation
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 9
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	000
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are
4	now on the record.
5	My name is Miguel Concepcion. I'm a
6	videographer for Golkow Litigation Services.
7	Today's date is April 26, 2024, and the
8	time is 9:24 a.m. Pacific Time.
9	This video deposition is being held in
10	Redwood City, California, in the matter of the State
11	of Texas, et al., versus Google LLC.
12	The deponent is
13	All appearances will be noted on the
14	stenographic record.
15	The court reporter is Jenny Griffin and
16	will now swear in the witness.
17	
18	
19	having been first duly sworn and/or affirmed by the
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter to tell the truth, the
21	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as
22	follows:
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, you may
24	proceed.
25	///

		Page 10
1		EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. H	ILLEGAS:
3	Q.	Good morning, Mr.
4		Will you please state your full name for
5	the recor	rd.
6	А.	
7	Q.	Where do you live?
8	А.	I live in Sunnyvale, California.
9	Q.	Do you work for Google?
10	А.	Yes, I do.
11	Q.	What is the address of the location where
12	you work	for Google?
13	Α.	I work in the Bay View Building,
14	Number 20	00.
15	Q.	What city is that in?
16	Α.	Mountain View.
17	Q.	Mr. I understand you were deposed
18	yesterday	; is that correct?
19	Α.	That is correct.
20	Q.	Was that your first time being deposed?
21	Α.	No, it was not.
22	Q.	How many times have you been deposed
23	before?	
24	Α.	Prior to yesterday, I have been deposed two
25	times.	

Page 48 calculate prices based on the 1 2. second-highest bid. Buyers are 'best served' if they bid below their true 3 value because the difference in value 4 between winning first place and second 5 6 place is very small." 7 Do you see that, sir? I see it. 8 Α. 9 So do you agree that Google is famous for 10 implementing generalized second-price auctions? 11 Objection to scope. MR. BITTON: 12 I don't know if -- Google is THE WITNESS: 13 famous for lots of things. And I don't know if I would say it's famous for implementation of Google's 14 15 second-price auctions. BY MR. HILLEGAS: 16 17 In the second-price auction, the winner Q. 18 pays the bid of the second-highest bidder; right? 19 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope and form. 20 Again, like I said, I'm not THE WITNESS: 21 an expert in VCG and GSP auction mechanics. 2.2 goes to how the price or the cost allocation is done to usually groups of ads. It's sort of a separate 23 module from what I work on. 24 /// 25

Page 49 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 1 2. Q. But you're familiar with what a generalized second-price auction is; right? 3 4 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope. THE WITNESS: Not very well. 5 6 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 7 So you don't know why bidders would be best 0. 8 served by bidding below the true value, do you, sir? 9 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope and form. 10 THE WITNESS: The differences between GSP 11 and VCG is not my area of expertise. Like I said, 12 that comes down to price allocation to block groups 13 of ads, and that's a different module from what I 14 worked on. 15 BY MR. HILLEGAS: In 2013 what were you working on? 16 Ο. 17 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope. In 2013 I had started to work 18 THE WITNESS: 19 on exchange bidding as part of this team called 20 qTrade. 21 BY MR. HILLEGAS: What is gTrade? 2.2 Q. 23 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope. 24 THE WITNESS: GTrade was the name assigned 25 to some of the engineers working on projects, trying

Page 50 to figure out the best way to bid on exchanges on 1 2. behalf of AdWords advertisers. BY MR. HILLEGAS: 3 4 0. What is exchange bidding? Objection to scope. 5 MR. BITTON: 6 THE WITNESS: What I'm referring to as 7 exchange bidding is how in this case AdWords should 8 generate a bid to be submitted to the exchange in 9 order to -- in order to win the ad impression to 10 generate the best advertiser value subject to other 11 constraints. 12 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 13 At the time many auctions were using Ο. second-price bidding; right? 14 15 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope. 16 THE WITNESS: When you say "many auctions," 17 are you referring to -- what are you referring to? 18 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 19 For example, AdX ran a second-price 20 exchange in 2013; correct? 21 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope. 2.2 Again, I'm not a sell-side THE WITNESS: And someone on sell-side should talk about 23 expert. 24 the AdX options. But it is my understanding that 25 AdX was running a second-price auction at that time.

Page 51 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 1 2. Q. And you were trying to figure out the best way to bid on exchanges like AdX on behalf of 3 AdWords advertisers; right? 4 Objection to scope. 5 MR. BITTON: 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was trying to figure 7 out the best way to bid on behalf of advertisers maximizing value and bidding through Ad Exchange. 8 9 BY MR. HILLEGAS: And you were doing this without knowledge 10 of what a second-price auction is; correct? 11 12 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope and form. 13 THE WITNESS: Can you elaborate, please? BY MR. HILLEGAS: 14 15 If AdX is an exchange that you were 16 submitting bids to, and AdX ran a second-price 17 auction, you previously said that you didn't have 18 knowledge of what a second-price auction is; 19 correct? 20 MR. BITTON: Objection to form and scope. 21 THE WITNESS: No. I did not say that. 2.2 BY MR. HILLEGAS: So when I asked you what a generalized 23 Ο. 24 second-price auction is, what is a generalized 25 second-price auction?

Page 170 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope. 1 2. THE WITNESS: This was a model built to improve click-through rate predictions for AdWords 3 ads, buying on AdX traffic in this case. 4 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 5 6 And so when you say it improves run time, 7 you're referring to the run time to get something to AdX then; right? 8 9 Objection to scope and form. MR. BITTON: 10 No. This is referring to the THE WITNESS: 11 run time off the pipeline itself to come up with 12 those improved predictions. 13 MR. HILLEGAS: I have a feeling that that is a long question that we're going to have to get 14 15 And since we've been going, I think, for now an hour and 15 minutes, why don't we take a little 16 17 bit of a break and we'll come back to that later. 18 MR. BITTON: Okay. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 21 2:01 p.m. 2.2 (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record 23 24 at 2:15 p.m. /// 25

Page 171 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 1 2. Ο. Welcome back, Mr. I hear that we're about halfway through our deposition; so 3 that's exciting -- or terrifying, depending on how 4 you feel. 5 6 We had briefly been discussing your 7 consulting with the sell-side teams, if you recall. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Does Google formally organize employees between buy-side and sell-side organizations? 10 11 Objection to form and scope. MR. BITTON: 12 There is a buy-side and THE WITNESS: 13 sell-side organization today leading up to two different VPs. Actually, three, if I factor in DV3. 14 15 BY MR. HILLEGAS: Are the two teams typically segregated? 16 Ο. 17 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope and form. Segregated in what way? 18 THE WITNESS: BY MR. HILLEGAS: 19 20 Is there any sort of firewall between the Q. 21 buy-side and sell-side teams? 2.2 Objection to form and scope. MR. BITTON: THE WITNESS: As I said, buy-side team 23 24 optimizes on behalf of advertisers. Sell-side teams 25 work with publishers. And we interact on occasion

Page 172 to discuss potential impact to advertisers or 1 2. publishers on launches. BY MR. HILLEGAS: 3 So there's no formal separation between the 4 teams; is that correct? 5 6 MR. BITTON: Objection to form and scope. 7 THE WITNESS: How do you -- what kind of 8 formal separation are you referring to? 9 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 10 There's nothing in place at Google that would prevent buy-side teams from talking with 11 12 sell-side teams; right? 13 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope. 14 Again, like I said, buy-side THE WITNESS: 15 team and sell-side teams talk to each other if we 16 want to discuss the impact of launches. My team has 17 talked to third-party exchanges to discuss impact of 18 launches. That's how I would characterize the nature 19 20 of our interaction, but we have our own optimization 21 qoals. 2.2 BY MR. HILLEGAS: Buy-side engineers talk with sell-side 23 Ο. 24 engineers as part of that; right? 25 MR. BITTON: Objection to scope and form.

Page 173 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say that is 1 2. generally true. I think there are a few buy-side 3 engineers who have these types of discussions with a 4 few sell-side engineers on an as-needed basis. BY MR. HILLEGAS: 5 Such as yourself and Mr. 6 Ο. 7 Objection to form and scope. MR. BITTON: Such as the conversations I 8 THE WITNESS: 9 have had with Mr. on impact of potential 10 launches on publishers or advertisers. 11 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 12 Do any of the buy-side engineers help the 13 sell-side engineers debug code? 14 Objection to scope and form. MR. BITTON: 15 THE WITNESS: I cannot answer that question at this point, sitting here today. 16 17 BY MR. HILLEGAS: 18 It's possible, though? Ο. 19 Objection to form and scope. MR. BITTON: 20 I'm not sure if that's -- if THE WITNESS: 21 that happens or not. 2.2 BY MR. HILLEGAS: Is it possible for sell-side engineers to 23 Ο. 24 help buy-side engineers debug code? 25 MR. BITTON: Objection to form and scope.

Page 359 1 ---000---2 I, JENNY L. GRIFFIN, hereby certify: 3 That I am a certified shorthand reporter in and 5 for the County of Alameda, State of California; Prior to being examined, 6 7 the witness named in the foregoing deposition, was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole 8 9 truth, and nothing but the truth; that said deposition was taken pursuant to notice at the time 10 and place therein set forth, and was taken down by me 11 12 in stenotype and thereafter transcribed by means of 13 computer-aided transcription, and that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by 14 15 the witness. 16 I further certify that I am neither counsel for 17 nor related in any way to any party to said action, nor otherwise interested in the outcome thereof. 18 In witness whereof, I have hereunto subscribed 19 20 my name April 29, 2024. 2.1 22 23 JENNY L. GRIFFIN, CSR #3969 24 25 Certified Shorthand Reporter